



*Correspondence

Dr Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt Potage Consulting, Cairns, Australia

- Received Date: 03 May 2025
- Accepted Date: 10 May 2025
- Publication Date: 13 May 2025

Copyright

© 2025 Authors. This is an open- access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Trauma-Informed Leadership: Recognising, Responding, and Rebuilding Organisational Resilience

Dr Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt Potage Consulting, Cairns, Australia

Abstract

This article explores trauma-informed leadership within corporate environments, highlighting the relevance of psychological and physiological trauma in shaping workplace behaviours and organisational dynamics. Drawing on interdisciplinary research, it challenges traditional leadership models that prioritise performance metrics over relational understanding, arguing instead for leadership approaches that are responsive to the pervasive impact of trauma. The article examines how trauma can manifest in professional settings - not only through individual behaviours but also in organisational cultures - and considers how systemic factors such as cultural, historical, and intergenerational trauma influence employee experiences. Key principles of trauma-informed leadership are outlined, with a focus on psychological safety, empowerment, and cultural responsiveness. A practical framework is presented to assist leaders in recognising trauma-related behaviours and responding with strategies that foster connection, trust, and resilience. While there is no one-size-fits-all method for addressing trauma in the workplace, this article offers a research-informed foundation for cultivating leadership practices that support individual wellbeing and enhance collective organisational functioning.

Introduction

Trauma, in its broadest sense, refers to the psychological and physiological impact of deeply distressing or disturbing experiences [1]. The American Psychiatric Association defines trauma as an event, series of events, or set of circumstances that is experienced as physically or emotionally harmful or life-threatening, with lasting adverse effects on an individual's functioning and well-being [2]. While trauma has traditionally been examined within clinical and healthcare contexts, its presence and ramifications extend well beyond these spheres, infiltrating workplaces and shaping cultures in ways that often go unrecognised [3].

From a psychological perspective, trauma disrupts an individual's sense of safety, trust, and control, potentially leading to hypervigilance, emotional dysregulation, and cognitive impairments such as difficulties with concentration and decision-making [4]. Physiologically, trauma alters the body's stress response systems, often manifesting in chronic conditions such as heightened cortisol levels, cardiovascular issues, and immune system suppression [5]. These impacts do not operate in isolation; rather, they interact dynamically within professional environments, influencing employee behaviour, leadership effectiveness, and overall organisational health [6].

Corporate settings, despite being distinct from healthcare environments, are not immune to the widespread effects of trauma. Employees do not leave their past experiences at the door when they enter the workplace [7]. Instead, trauma shapes interpersonal interactions, stress tolerance, and responses to authority and feedback [8]. Organisations that fail to recognise and address trauma may encounter increased absenteeism, reduced engagement, higher turnover rates, and workplace conflicts [7]. Leaders, whether they realise it or not, are managing teams in which trauma is a silent yet powerful force, shaping organisational dynamics in profound ways [6].

The prevalence of trauma is substantial and well-documented [9]. Large-scale studies, such as the World Health Organization's World Mental Health Surveys, have found that approximately 70.4% of individuals globally have experienced at least one traumatic event in their lifetime, with an average of 3.2 such events per person [10]. While not all trauma results in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), the lingering effects of distressing experiences can significantly shape workplace behaviours [11]. Employees may exhibit defensive responses to perceived threats, struggle with authority figures, or disengage from organisational culture as a means of self-preservation [7]. These manifestations, often

Citation: Wyatt Z. Trauma-Informed Leadership: Recognising, Responding, and Rebuilding Organisational Resilience. *Neurol Neurosci.* 2025;6(3):015.

misinterpreted as performance or attitude issues, are, in fact, reflections of deeper, unresolved trauma responses [11].

Understanding how trauma influences corporate environments necessitates a shift in leadership paradigms [12]. Traditional leadership models, which often emphasise performance metrics and efficiency, may inadvertently overlook the ways in which trauma affects decision-making, collaboration, and workplace morale [12]. A trauma-informed leadership approach acknowledges the prevalence of trauma, recognises its impact on workplace behaviour, and integrates practices that foster psychological safety, trust, and resilience within teams [13]. Therefore, as organisations navigate an increasingly complex and uncertain world - shaped by global crises, economic volatility, and evolving workplace expectations - the need for trauma-informed leadership has never been more pressing [12]. By adopting an informed and research-backed approach, leaders can create environments that not only mitigate the adverse effects of trauma but also empower employees to thrive in ways that benefit both individual well-being and organisational success [13]. This article will explore the mechanisms by which trauma manifests in the corporate landscape, examine the responsibilities of leadership in recognising and responding to trauma, and highlight the cultural and systemic shifts necessary to cultivate resilient, high-functioning workplaces.

Beyond Diagnosis: Interpreting Trauma and Its Organisational Expressions

While trauma is often associated with PTSD, not everyone who experiences trauma will develop this condition [14]. The misconception that trauma always results in PTSD has led to an oversimplified understanding of its impact, particularly in corporate environments where psychological distress is often dismissed if it does not present in an overtly clinical way [15]. PTSD, as classified by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), includes symptoms such as intrusive memories, hyperarousal, avoidance behaviours, and negative alterations in cognition and mood [2]. However, trauma responses exist on a spectrum [16]. Many individuals may exhibit symptoms of anxiety, depression, dissociation, or maladaptive coping mechanisms without meeting the criteria for PTSD [16]. In fact, resilience studies suggest that a significant proportion of individuals exposed to trauma—estimates range from 50% to 70%—do not develop chronic mental health conditions but may still experience subtle yet persistent disruptions in emotional regulation, interpersonal relationships, and stress response systems [17].

In professional environments, trauma manifests in ways that are frequently misinterpreted as personality flaws or performance issues [7]. Employees who have experienced trauma - whether from personal crises, systemic oppression, or toxic work cultures - may display heightened emotional reactivity, disengagement, perfectionism, or chronic exhaustion [7]. These behaviours, when left unexamined, often lead to negative performance evaluations or disciplinary actions rather than being recognised as adaptive responses to past adversity [18]. Employees from historically marginalised backgrounds often carry the residual effects of intergenerational trauma, which can manifest in various ways in professional settings [19]. Research on epigenetics suggests that trauma can alter stress response systems, making individuals from traumatised communities more susceptible to anxiety, hypervigilance, or distrust in hierarchical structures [20].

Additionally, cultural and historical trauma, particularly

among marginalised groups, intersects with workplace dynamics in profound ways [19]. Employees from historically oppressed communities may carry the psychological weight of intergenerational trauma, which influences how they navigate authority structures, conflict, and inclusion within the workplace [21]. A trauma-informed corporate culture does not merely acknowledge diversity but actively works to dismantle workplace structures that perpetuate stress and exclusion [22]. Trauma is not experienced solely on an individual level - it can be interwoven into the fabric of entire communities, passed down through generations, and reinforced by systemic structures [23].

Cultural and historical trauma refers to the collective psychological and emotional wounds inflicted on marginalised groups due to historical injustices such as colonisation, slavery, forced displacement, genocide, and systemic discrimination [24,25]. These traumas are not confined to the past but continue to shape how individuals and communities navigate the present, including their experiences within corporate environments [19]. Furthermore, the way trauma is experienced, processed, and responded to varies significantly across different cultural and social contexts [26]. In societies affected by war, displacement, or systemic violence, trauma can be deeply ingrained across generations [26]. Similarly, in regions where economic instability or oppressive governance prevails, chronic stressors contribute to widespread psychological distress [27]. These realities make it imperative for leaders in multinational corporations to understand that trauma does not always originate from individual experiences - it can also stem from historical, political, and societal structures [28].

Trauma in the Workplace: A Hidden but Pervasive Force

Despite its prevalence, trauma is often invisible in professional settings [29]. Many employees who have experienced trauma may not even recognise the extent to which it affects their professional lives, as they have adapted survival mechanisms that operate unconsciously [30]. In corporate settings, trauma manifests in a range of behaviours [29]. Some of the most common ways trauma presents itself in workplace dynamics may include:

- **Heightened Sensitivity to Feedback:** Employees with trauma histories may perceive constructive criticism as a personal attack, triggering defensive reactions, withdrawal, or heightened stress responses [31].
- **Perfectionism and Overworking:** A history of instability or neglect may lead some individuals to overcompensate by seeking control through perfectionism [32].
- **Avoidance of Authority Figures or Conflict:** Past experiences with coercive or abusive authority may result in difficulty engaging with supervisors, speaking up in meetings, or asserting boundaries [33].
- **Emotional Detachment or Disengagement:** Employees who have experienced significant distress may unconsciously suppress their emotions and may appear detached, indifferent, or unmotivated [34].
- **Difficulties with Team Collaboration:** Unresolved trauma can lead to trust issues, difficulty forming interpersonal relationships, or struggles with group-based work structures [35].

Beyond individual experiences, trauma can also be embedded in organisational cultures. Workplaces that foster high-

pressure, fear-based environments - characterised by excessive competition, micromanagement, or a lack of psychological safety - may inadvertently contribute to chronic stress that mirrors traumatic conditions [30]. Research has shown that prolonged exposure to such environments leads to increased burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and higher turnover rates [36]. Leaders who are not trauma-informed may label an employee's struggle with deadlines as inefficiency rather than understanding it as a possible manifestation of executive dysfunction linked to past stress exposure [37].

Similarly, a staff member who avoids social interactions in the workplace may not be simply "unfriendly" but could be exhibiting a self-protective withdrawal pattern stemming from a history of psychological distress [38]. Recognising these patterns does not mean excusing underperformance but rather shifting from punitive management approaches to support-oriented leadership strategies [13]. Additionally, for multinational organisations, failing to consider cultural dimensions of trauma can lead to ineffective or even harmful interventions [26]. A one-size-fits-all approach to employee well-being may overlook the unique ways trauma is processed in different societies [26]. For instance:

- **In individualistic cultures** (e.g., the United States, Canada, Western Europe), employees may be encouraged to seek therapy or external resources independently, aligning with a self-sufficiency model [39].
- **In collectivist cultures** (e.g., many Asian, Latin American, and Indigenous communities), healing may be more embedded in communal relationships, making peer-based support systems or leadership mentorship crucial for trauma-sensitive practices [40].
- **In societies with recent histories of conflict, oppression, or forced displacement** (e.g., post-colonial states, war-affected regions), trauma responses may be shaped by intergenerational fears, affecting trust in institutions, workplace authority, and hierarchical structures [26].

By recognising the cultural dimensions of trauma, organisations can tailor their leadership and human resource strategies to accommodate diverse needs [13]. Trauma-informed leadership is not merely about understanding psychological distress - it also requires a nuanced approach that integrates historical, social, and cultural awareness into organisational practices [12]. Research has demonstrated that workplaces with strong psychological safety mechanisms - where employees feel secure in expressing concerns and navigating challenges - experience higher engagement, improved performance, and greater organisational loyalty [41]. A trauma-informed lens challenges traditional assumptions about performance, engagement, and accountability [41]. In many corporate cultures, the prevailing narrative rewards stoicism, productivity, and emotional neutrality - qualities that can obscure trauma responses rather than illuminate them [42,43]. Employees struggling with trauma may be labelled as "difficult," "disengaged," or "resistant to feedback," when in fact, they may be operating from a nervous system shaped by unpredictability, fear, or chronic stress [30].

This discussion is not a call for diminished accountability but rather for a more contextually informed model of leadership - one that is capable of discerning the distinction between behaviours indicative of disengagement and those that may be rooted in unresolved psychological distress [7]. Trauma-informed leadership does not require managerial staff to assume clinical roles; rather, it necessitates a heightened attunement to

the relational and environmental factors that shape employee behaviour, team dynamics, and organisational functioning [13]. In addition to its ethical rationale, the case for trauma-informed leadership is supported by emerging organisational research [44]. Studies indicate that integrating trauma awareness into leadership development can contribute to increased employee retention, improved interpersonal cohesion, and enhanced organisational responsiveness during periods of volatility [7,44]. These outcomes suggest that trauma-informed approaches are not only aligned with employee well-being but also with strategic imperatives such as workforce stability and adaptive capacity.

Principles and Practice: Translating Trauma-Informed Frameworks into Organisational Leadership

Understanding trauma as a complex and multidimensional phenomenon, rather than as a clinical diagnosis alone, provides a more comprehensive framework for organisational leadership [37]. Contemporary models of trauma-informed practice - originating primarily from healthcare and education sectors - highlight six core principles: safety, trustworthiness and transparency, peer support, collaboration and mutuality, empowerment, and cultural responsiveness [45]. Although initially applied in clinical settings, these principles are increasingly relevant in corporate contexts, where complex interpersonal dynamics, rapid change, and performance pressures often intersect with unresolved psychological distress [13]. When thoughtfully translated, these principles can inform leadership strategies that mitigate harm and enhance organisational functioning [13].

Psychological safety, in particular, has received considerable attention in organisational studies as a predictor of team effectiveness [42]. Edmondson's [41] research demonstrates that environments where individuals feel safe to take interpersonal risks - such as voicing concerns, making mistakes, or offering dissent - foster greater innovation, learning, and adaptability. In trauma-informed leadership, psychological safety also serves as a protective mechanism against retraumatisation, particularly for employees with prior exposure to destabilising or coercive environments [46]. Closely linked to psychological safety is the principle of trustworthiness and transparency [42]. In trauma-affected individuals, unpredictability can trigger defensive responses rooted in previous experiences of powerlessness or betrayal [47]. Within organisational settings, consistent communication, clear expectations, and procedural fairness contribute to a climate of predictability and coherence [37]. Leaders who are able to offer clarity and demonstrate follow-through may reduce perceived threats and facilitate greater organisational engagement among their teams [46].

Empowerment and autonomy are also central to a trauma-informed leadership model [47]. Providing employees with choice and agency in how they perform their work can counterbalance previous experiences of disempowerment [48]. This approach aligns with research suggesting that perceived control within one's role correlates with increased job satisfaction and psychological well-being [49]. Moreover, when empowerment is embedded structurally - through inclusive decision-making processes or flexible workplace policies - it supports a broader organisational culture of respect and mutual recognition [49]. Furthermore, collaboration, when grounded in mutuality rather than hierarchy, can enhance trust and collective resilience [50]. Traditional organisational models often reinforce vertical power dynamics that limit dialogue

and feedback [51]. By contrast, trauma-informed leadership practices encourage reciprocal communication and shared responsibility, recognising the interdependence of roles and the value of diverse perspectives in problem-solving [46].

An essential but often underexamined component of trauma-informed leadership is the integration of cultural, historical, and social considerations [22]. Trauma is frequently shaped by structural inequities related to race, gender, sexuality, class, and geopolitical history [52]. Employees from historically marginalised communities may bring with them experiences of exclusion, discrimination, or intergenerational trauma, which can affect how they relate to authority, respond to feedback, or engage in workplace dynamics [20,21]. Leadership that recognises these contextual factors - without framing them as deficits - can more effectively support inclusive and equitable organisational practices [20]. In practical terms, leaders can apply this understanding by engaging in ongoing self-reflection, adapting communication styles across cultural contexts, and creating space for diverse perspectives to inform team processes [53]. Attention to power dynamics, openness to feedback, and responsiveness to exclusionary behaviours are all key components [54]. Rather than relying on universal assumptions about workplace norms, culturally responsive leaders foster environments where psychological safety is distributed more evenly across identity groups, and where difference is recognised as a meaningful influence on how people experience the workplace [13].

Leadership, Connection, and the Conditions for Organisational Resilience

The integration of trauma-informed principles within corporate environments ultimately depends not on formal policy structures, but on the interpersonal dynamics and emotional literacy cultivated by leaders [28]. While system-level change may support these efforts, it is at the level of human interaction - between managers and their teams, peers and colleagues - where trauma is most often encountered and where resilience is most meaningfully forged [28]. Central to this relational framework is the concept of social connection. A growing body of research identifies supportive interpersonal relationships as a core protective factor in mitigating the adverse effects of trauma [55-57]. In organisational contexts, teams characterised by mutual trust, psychological safety, and shared vulnerability tend to demonstrate greater resilience during periods of disruption or stress [46]. When individuals feel seen, valued, and emotionally secure in their professional environment, they are more likely to engage openly, recover from setbacks, and contribute to collective goals [17].

Leaders play a pivotal role in shaping these relational climates. Leadership behaviours that promote empathy, active listening, and consistency in interpersonal interactions create conditions where employees can regulate stress more effectively and begin to form new, reparative patterns of engagement [58]. This is particularly critical for individuals whose trauma histories have been shaped by relational harm

Table 1.1

Behaviour Observed	Underlying Theme	Suggested Leadership Strategy	Specific Actions for Leaders
Heightened sensitivity to feedback	Threat perception and fear of judgment	Provide feedback privately and with clear intent; reinforce safety and non-punitive culture.	Use a calm tone; ask for employee input on how they'd like to receive feedback; clarify intent before giving feedback.
Perfectionism and overworking	Need for control due to past instability	Support realistic workloads and celebrate progress, not just outcomes; model work-life balance.	Encourage rest; validate effort, not just output; discuss workload boundaries openly in one-on-one meetings.
Avoidance of authority or conflict	Distrust of authority rooted in past coercion	Offer predictable structures and invite dialogue through non-confrontational check-ins.	Maintain a consistent meeting schedule; check in informally before raising concerns; give advance notice for evaluative discussions.
Emotional detachment or disengagement	Self-protection through emotional withdrawal	Acknowledge withdrawal without pressuring participation; demonstrate emotional presence.	Recognise withdrawal patterns; ask neutral, open-ended questions; express availability without demand.
Difficulty with team collaboration	Interpersonal mistrust from prior trauma	Create shared goals and build low-risk opportunities for team engagement and trust-building.	Facilitate small group tasks before large group collaboration; acknowledge past team successes; establish rotating roles for inclusivity.
Disengagement in culturally unsafe settings	Historical and intergenerational trauma	Incorporate culturally responsive practices and invite diverse perspectives into decision-making.	Engage in training on cultural safety; consult with cultural advisors or ERGs; adapt communication and rituals to reflect diverse team norms.

- whether through neglect, betrayal, or exclusion [47]. In such cases, workplace relationships may serve as corrective experiences, slowly rebuilding a sense of safety and belonging through respectful, trustworthy interactions [13]. Importantly, fostering connection does not require dramatic interventions or therapeutic strategies [58]. Rather, it involves a sustained commitment to relational presence: checking in regularly with team members, being attentive to shifts in mood or engagement, responding to feedback with openness rather than defensiveness, and modelling vulnerability in appropriate ways [59]. These small acts of attunement communicate care, signal safety, and build the relational scaffolding that buffers against burnout and fragmentation [42].

Team cohesion, too, becomes a site of resilience [60]. Trauma-informed leadership understands that collective capacity - rather than individual brilliance - is what enables teams to withstand pressure and adapt to change [22]. These environments invite contribution without coercion, cultivate shared responsibility, and reduce the interpersonal isolation that often compounds trauma responses [4]. As ultimately, the resilience of an organisation is not measured solely by its ability to maintain productivity during crisis, but by its ability to remain relationally intact [28]. Trauma-informed leadership acknowledges that human beings do not compartmentalise their distress at the threshold of the workplace. Instead, it invites the possibility that the workplace itself - when led with insight and intention - can become a space where safety is re-learned, connection is restored, and resilience is cultivated through genuine human presence [7].

Practical Applications of Trauma-Informed Leadership

While the principles of trauma-informed leadership offer a valuable conceptual framework, their implementation requires context-sensitive adaptation [13]. There is no universal method for recognising or responding to trauma in the workplace; behaviours linked to distress are shaped by individual histories, cultural norms, and relational dynamics [4]. Nonetheless, leaders can benefit from structured guidance on how to interpret common workplace behaviours through a trauma-informed lens [40]. The following table was developed based on the research and theoretical frameworks discussed throughout this article. It outlines typical behavioural patterns that may emerge in trauma-affected employees, the underlying psychological or social themes these behaviours may reflect, and practical strategies leaders can adopt in response. These strategies are not prescriptive, but serve as a flexible guide to support psychological safety, relational trust, and inclusive team functioning..

Conclusion

Trauma is not confined to therapeutic settings or extreme events - it is embedded in the everyday realities of working life. Whether through personal adversity, systemic marginalisation, or the accumulated strain of high-pressure environments, many employees carry unseen burdens that shape how they engage with their work, their colleagues, and their leaders. Yet, this does not signify fragility; it signals an urgent call for leadership models that are emotionally attuned, culturally informed, and relationally grounded. Trauma-informed leadership offers a framework that not only recognises the psychological impact of distress but also affirms the human capacity for adaptation, connection, and repair [13]. By attending to the principles of safety, trust, empowerment, and cultural awareness, leaders are better equipped to support teams in ways that foster inclusion,

resilience, and sustained engagement. Such leadership does not require clinical expertise, but it does demand reflexivity, empathy, and the willingness to lead from a place of insight rather than assumption. In recognising the psychological realities of organisational life, leaders create space not only for healing but for a more sustainable vision of success—one that honours complexity, fosters dignity, and makes room for the full range of human experience.

References

1. Gold SN, ed. *APA Handbook of Trauma Psychology: Volume 1: Foundations in Knowledge*. American Psychological Association; 2017. doi:10.1037/0000019-000
2. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
3. Gouni O, Verny TR. The human toll of collective trauma. *Int J Prenat Life Sci*. 2023;1-40. doi:10.24946/IJPLS
4. de Zeeuw Wright M. Understanding the impact of trauma on the human brain, relationships, and learning. In: *Trauma-Informed Care in Social Work Education*. Routledge; 2025:49-73.
5. Russell G, Lightman S. The human stress response. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2019;15(9):525-534.
6. Grossberg IR. *Utilizing Trauma-Informed Compassionate Leader Behaviors for Employees Facing Health-Related Trauma [dissertation]*. 2024.
7. Christensen JL, Embry E, Newman AB, Godfrey PC. If the body keeps the score, what happens when you bring the body to work? Exploring the health effects of trauma on human capital. *Bus Soc*. 2025;64(3):558-592.
8. Craig SE. *Trauma-Sensitive Schools: Learning Communities Transforming Children's Lives, K-5*. Teachers College Press; 2016.
9. Ruglass LM, Kendall-Tackett K. *Psychology of Trauma 101*. Springer Publishing Company; 2014.
10. Benjet C, Bromet E, Karam EG, et al. The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. *Psychol Med*. 2016;46(2):327-343. doi:10.1017/S0033291715001981
11. Richins MT, Gauntlett L, Tehrani N, et al. Early post-trauma interventions in organizations: a scoping review. *Front Psychol*. 2020;11:1176.
12. MacDonald M. *Redefining Leadership in the Face of Trauma: Integrating OD Principles, Leadership Models, and a Trauma-Informed Approach [master's thesis]*. The College of St. Scholastica; 2024.
13. Bloom SL. A biocratic paradigm: exploring the complexity of trauma-informed leadership and creating presence™. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2023;13(5):355.
14. Bryant RA. Post-traumatic stress disorder: a state-of-the-art review of evidence and challenges. *World Psychiatry*. 2019;18(3):259-269.
15. Kiyimba N, Buxton C, Shuttleworth J, Pathe E. *Discourses of Psychological Trauma*. Palgrave Macmillan; 2022.
16. Galatzer-Levy IR, Huang SH, Bonanno GA. Trajectories of resilience and dysfunction following potential trauma: a review and statistical evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2018;63:41-55.
17. Southwick SM, Bonanno GA, Masten AS, Panter-Brick C, Yehuda R. Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: interdisciplinary perspectives. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2014;5(1):25338.
18. Vuong TDN, Nguyen LT. *The key strategies for measuring employee performance in companies: a systematic review*. Sustainability. 2022;14(21):14017.
19. Howard N. *How Does Trauma Impact on Adults' Ability to Obtain and Sustain Employment? [dissertation]*. Otago Polytechnic, Te Pūkenga, New Zealand; 2023.
20. Yehuda R, Lehrner A. Intergenerational transmission of trauma effects: putative role of epigenetic mechanisms. *World Psychiatry*.

- 2018;17(3):243-257.
21. Brave Heart MYH, Chase J, Elkins J, Altschul DB. Historical trauma among Indigenous Peoples of the Americas: concepts, research, and clinical considerations. *J Psychoactive Drugs*. 2011;43(4):282-290.
22. McGuire AR. The Intersectionality of Trauma-Informed Leadership and Staff Retention in Higher Education [dissertation]. St. Thomas University; 2024.
23. Campbell AT. Addressing the community trauma of inequity holistically: the head and the heart behind structural interventions. *Denv L Rev*. 2020;98:1.
24. Lumas-Wright M. Trauma Across Culture: A Phenomenological Study Exploring the Historical Effect of Colonialism, Genocide, and Resilience on Descendants of Indigenous Peoples in the United States [dissertation]. Pepperdine University; 2024.
25. Menzies K. Understanding the Australian Aboriginal experience of collective, historical and intergenerational trauma. *Int Soc Work*. 2019;62(6):1522-1534.
26. Ungar M. Resilience, trauma, context, and culture. *Trauma Violence Abuse*. 2013;14(3):255-266.
27. Kirkbride JB, Anglin DM, Colman I, et al. The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World Psychiatry*. 2024;23(1):58-90.
28. Caruth C. Listening to Trauma: Conversations with Leaders in the Theory and Treatment of Catastrophic Experience. Johns Hopkins University Press; 2014.
29. Sutton L, Rowe S, Hammerton G, Billings J. The contribution of organisational factors to vicarious trauma in mental health professionals: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *Eur J Psychotraumatol*. 2022;13(1):2022278.
30. van der Kolk BA. The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma. Viking; 2014.
31. Kim J. Understanding the Relationship Between Traumatic Experience and Employee Organizational Commitment Using a Posttraumatic Cognitive Model and Self Determination Theory [dissertation]. The University of Wisconsin-Madison; 2023.
32. Verrastro V, Cuzzocrea F, Calaresi D, Saladino V. Childhood emotional abuse, neuroticism, perfectionism, and workaholism in an Italian sample of young workers. *Behav Sci*. 2024;14(4):298.
33. Hansen BD. Disclosure of Abuse to Empowerment: Exploring Psychological Safety as a Leadership Tool to Support Women Struggling With Workplace Performance [doctoral dissertation]. University of Southern California; 2024.
34. Jonker C, Botma N. Chapter 1 The Emotion Experiences of Employees in a Work Setting. In: *Experiencing and Managing Emotions in the Workplace*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited; 2012:13-49.
35. Tehrani N, ed. *Managing Trauma in the Workplace*. Routledge; 2010.
36. Maslach C, Leiter MP. Burnout: A multidimensional perspective. In: Cooper L, Cooper CL, eds. *The Psychology of Work: Theoretically Based Empirical Research*. Routledge; 2016:68-85.
37. Choitz V, Wagner S. A trauma-informed approach to workforce. National Fund for Workforce Solutions; 2021.
38. Benenson JF, Webb CE, Wrangham RW. Self-protection as an adaptive female strategy. *Behav Brain Sci*. 2022;45:e128.
39. Bloom SL. A biocratic paradigm: Exploring the complexity of trauma-informed leadership and creating presence™. *Behav Sci*. 2023;13(5):355.
40. Fitzsimmons SR, Stamper CL. How societal culture influences friction in the employee–organization relationship. *Hum Resour Manag Rev*. 2014;24(1):80-94.
41. Nelson AM. Trauma-Inspired Leadership: Aces, Posttraumatic Growth, and Fidelity to Trauma-Informed Practices [dissertation]. University of South Dakota; 2021.
42. MacDonald M. Redefining Leadership in the Face of Trauma: Integrating OD Principles, Leadership Models, and a Trauma-Informed Approach [master's thesis]. The College of St. Scholastica; 2024.
43. Edmondson A. The Fearless Organization: Creating Psychological Safety in the Workplace for Learning, Innovation, and Growth. Wiley; 2019.
44. Clark TR. The 4 Stages of Psychological Safety: Defining the Path to Inclusion and Innovation. Berrett-Koehler Publishers; 2020.
45. Tracy SJ, Redden SM. The structuration of emotion. In: *Origins and Traditions of Organizational Communication*. 2019:348-369.
46. Steffy CJ, Kania SJ III. Ethics, moral injury, and the need for trauma-informed leadership. 2023.
47. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). SAMHSA's Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach. HHS Publication No. SMA 14-4884. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2014.
48. Cockersell P. The relationship between psychologically informed environments and trauma-informed care, and what they mean for organisational leadership. In: *Implementing Psychologically Informed Environments and Trauma Informed Care*. Routledge; 2024:23-40.
49. Perry BD, Jackson AL. Trauma-informed leadership. In: *Leadership in Child and Family Practice*. Routledge; 2018:125-141.
50. Ivanova M, von Scheve C. Power through empowerment? The managerial discourse on employee empowerment. *Organization*. 2020;27(6):777-796.
51. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychol Inq*. 2000;11(4):227-268.
52. Rice RM. When hierarchy becomes collaborative: Collaboration as sensemaking frame in high reliability organizing. *Corp Commun Int J*. 2018;23(4):599-613.
53. Schoemaker PJ, Heaton S, Teece D. Innovation, dynamic capabilities, and leadership. *Calif Manage Rev*. 2018;61(1):15-42.
54. Iantaffi A. Gender Trauma: Healing Cultural, Social, and Historical Gendered Trauma. Jessica Kingsley Publishers; 2020.
55. Kohn P. Reflection and self-awareness: Cultivating effective leadership mindset. In: *Elevating Leadership*. Emerald Publishing Limited; 2024:91-118.
56. Miller A, Trochmann MB, Drury I. Trauma-informed public management: A step toward addressing hidden inequalities and improving employee wellbeing. *Public Adm Q*. 2022;46(3):238-257.
57. Liu Y, Wang H, Zhou Y. The impact of social support on psychological resilience in adolescents: A longitudinal analysis. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1331813.
58. Teismann T, Brailovskaia J, Margraf J. The buffering effect of social support on the association between low resilience and depressive symptoms. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13:31863.
59. Wyatt ZO. Pathways of resilience and recovery from trauma for Cambodian young people. *Adv Soc Sci Cult*. 2021;3(3):80-98.
60. Sen A. Creating compassionate climates through resonant leaders. *HR Vista: PSU 2.0 Leading with Compassion*. 2024;11.
61. Brown B. Dare to Lead: Brave Work. Tough Conversations. Whole Hearts. Random House; 2018.
62. Luster RJ, Daniels E, More S, Morales A. The cohesive factors in building resilience and team dynamism in a turbulent era: Team motivation and the organizationally resilient. In: *Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship and Organizational Resilience During Unprecedented Times*. IGI Global; 2023:440-458.
63. Karan B. How does organisational culture create psychological distress in the workplace? 2023.