



## Correspondence

Dr Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt Potage Consulting, Cairns Australia

- Received Date: 19 April 2025
- Accepted Date: 26 April 2025
- Publication Date: 28 April 2025

## Copyright

© 2025 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

# Wired for Want: How Dopamine Drives the New Epidemic of Everyday Addictions

Dr Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt Potage Consulting, Cairns Australia

## Abstract

*As digital technologies become deeply interwoven into everyday life, a new class of addictions - behavioural rather than substance-based is emerging with increasing clinical urgency. This article explores the neurobiological and psychological underpinnings of behavioural addictions, emphasising the central role of dopamine in driving compulsive engagement with activities such as social media, gaming, online shopping, and streaming. Drawing on current research in neuroscience and behavioural psychology, the paper examines how modern digital environments are deliberately engineered to exploit the brain's reward circuitry and habit formation mechanisms, leading to persistent patterns of compulsion that mimic the dynamics of substance use disorders. The subtle onset of these behaviours, their social normalisation, and the absence of formal diagnostic criteria complicate both recognition and treatment. In response, this article outlines evidence-based therapeutic interventions - including Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy, Mindfulness-Based Interventions, and Acceptance and Commitment Therapy - highlighting their role in restoring behavioural regulation and psychological agency. Finally, the discussion reframes behavioural addictions not as pathologies of indulgence but as dysregulated adaptations to a hyper-rewarding world. The article concludes with a call for a nuanced clinical response and broader cultural reckoning, acknowledging the brain's plasticity while advocating for environments that support intentionality, emotional nourishment, and sustainable wellbeing.*

## Introduction

In the shadow of the digital age, where hyperconnectivity has become the fabric of contemporary life, a quiet epidemic is burgeoning - one not marked by syringes or substances, but by screen time, shopping carts, and scrolling [1]. Behavioural addictions, defined as compulsive engagement in non-substance-related activities despite adverse consequences, have begun to occupy the clinical landscape with increasing urgency [2]. While traditionally the domain of substance use disorders, the concept of addiction has broadened, recognising that the same neural circuitry responsible for heroin or alcohol dependence can be activated by activities like online gaming, social media use, gambling, or even compulsive eating [3].

Central to this behavioural entrapment is the neurotransmitter dopamine, long mischaracterised as the molecule of pleasure [4]. Contemporary neuroscience reveals a more unsettling role: dopamine is not about euphoria, but about anticipation - the restless drive to pursue reward, to chase [4]. This "wanting" system, once evolutionarily adaptive, now renders modern individuals susceptible to an endless loop of gratification-seeking behaviour in environments deliberately engineered to exploit it [5].

Unlike traditional addictions, behavioural addictions are insidious, as they masquerade as productivity, connectivity, or self-care [6]. They are, in many instances, not only socially sanctioned but socially encouraged [7].

As these addictions increasingly present in clinical settings, mental health professionals face new challenges: how to diagnose behaviours that are ubiquitous, how to intervene when the object of addiction is embedded in daily life, and how to support clients whose neural architecture has been subtly but powerfully rewired [8,9]. This article explores the role of dopamine in the rise of behavioural addictions, unpacking the neurobiological and psychological frameworks that underpin them, and offering evidence-based insights into their treatment and prevention.

## Dopamine and the Reward System

Dopamine, a catecholaminergic neurotransmitter, functions at the heart of the brain's motivational and reward circuitry [10]. Synthesised predominantly in the substantia nigra pars compacta and the ventral tegmental area (VTA), dopamine neurons project to several limbic and cortical areas, most notably the nucleus accumbens and prefrontal cortex, forming the mesolimbic and mesocortical pathways respectively [5]. These dopaminergic

**Citation:** Wyatt Z. Wired for Want: How Dopamine Drives the New Epidemic of Everyday Addictions. *Psychiatry Behav Health*. 2025;4(1):1-6.

tracts constitute the neurobiological backbone of reward processing, learning, motivation, and goal-directed behaviour [11]. While often simplistically associated with pleasure, dopamine's primary role is in modulating the anticipation of reward—driving organisms to pursue rather than passively experience gratification [12].

In normative states, the dopamine system is calibrated to reinforce adaptive behaviours essential to survival, such as seeking food, social bonding, and sexual reproduction [13] [13]. However, in addiction, whether substance-based or behavioural, this finely tuned system becomes dysregulated [13]. Psychoactive drugs such as cocaine and methamphetamine can flood the synaptic cleft with dopamine, thereby hijacking the reward system and fostering compulsive repetition of the drug-taking act [14]. Crucially, contemporary research demonstrates that similar surges in dopaminergic activity can occur in response to non-substance rewards, such as monetary gains from gambling, “likes” on social media, or the unpredictability of gaming achievements [15,16].

Behavioural addictions exploit this reward circuitry by leveraging environmental cues and intermittent reinforcement schedules that sustain dopaminergic arousal over time [14]. The outcome is a form of neuroadaptation where individuals begin to chase the anticipation of pleasure rather than the experience itself - a process Berridge and Robinson [17] termed in their foundational work “incentive sensitisation”. As behavioural engagement increases, tolerance builds, and the natural satiation response weakens, creating a compulsive feedback loop [18]. This understanding shifts addiction discourse away from moral or characterological frameworks toward a more precise neurobiological lens, revealing how the brain's reward machinery can be subtly, yet powerfully, commandeered by everyday behaviours [13].

### The Habit Loop: From Routine to Compulsion

Habits, those repeated behavioural patterns that often unfold outside conscious awareness, are not only efficient adaptations to daily life—they are the neurocognitive scaffolding upon which addiction may subtly take root [19]. The concept of the “habit loop,” popularised by Duhigg [20], is comprised of three primary elements: a cue, which initiates the behaviour; a routine, which is the enacted response; and a reward, which reinforces the behaviour and increases its likelihood of recurrence [20]. Though the model is elegantly simple, its implications for understanding behavioural addiction are profound.

At the neurobiological level, the basal ganglia, particularly the dorsal striatum, play a pivotal role in the automation of repeated behaviours [21]. Graybiel [22] demonstrated that with repeated reinforcement, neural activity shifts from being distributed across cortical areas to becoming consolidated within subcortical habit circuits. This neuroplastic consolidation is advantageous in healthy routines but becomes pathological when maladaptive behaviours - like compulsive checking of social media or binge-watching - become ingrained [23]. What marks the transition from habit to compulsion is not merely frequency but the emergence of behavioural rigidity in the absence of conscious reward [24]. In addiction neuroscience, this shift is understood through the framework of sensitisation: repeated exposure to a stimulus amplifies the motivational salience of cues associated with the behaviour, not necessarily the pleasure of the behaviour itself [25]. As a result, the mere sight of a smartphone or the ping of a notification can ignite a cascade of craving and automatic engagement [7].

In digital environments designed to exploit this neurobiology (through features such as infinite scroll, algorithmic targeting, and gamification), the habit loop becomes hypercharged [26]. For example, the design of digital platforms like TikTok exemplifies how specific features can exploit neurobiological mechanisms to foster compulsive use [27]. TikTok employs an infinite scroll interface, algorithmic content personalisation, and gamification elements to maximise user engagement [27]. The infinite scroll allows users to continuously access new content without deliberate effort, leading to prolonged usage sessions [28]. Algorithmic targeting curates content based on individual user behaviour, providing personalised recommendations that enhance the platform's addictive potential [26]. Gamification introduces elements such as rewards and challenges, further reinforcing engagement through dopamine-mediated reward pathways [26]. Collectively, these design strategies create an environment where the brain's reward system responds to artificially intensified cues, resulting in compulsive usage patterns that mirror the neurobehavioral dynamics observed in substance addiction [26].

### Behavioural Addictions: A Subtle Onset

The insidious nature of behavioural addictions lies not in their intensity but in their subtlety - the seamless way they embed themselves into daily routines under the guise of social norms and modern obligations [28]. Unlike substance use disorders, which are often accompanied by observable physical and psychological deterioration, behavioural addictions frequently masquerade as productivity, connectivity, or recreation [29]. This camouflage complicates clinical recognition and often delays both self-awareness and intervention [30]. Individuals may not recognise their behaviour as problematic, and clinicians may find it challenging to identify these addictions due to their subtle presentation and the societal acceptance of the behaviours involved. Grant, et al [30] emphasise that behavioural addictions share many features with substance addictions, including natural history, phenomenology, tolerance, comorbidity, overlapping genetic contributions, neurobiological mechanisms, and response to treatment.

However, the lack of clear diagnostic criteria for many of these behaviours further complicates timely identification and intervention. To date, only Gambling Disorder has been formally recognised as a behavioural addiction in the DSM-5 [31]. While Internet Gaming Disorder is included in Section III as a condition warranting further research, there is no current diagnostic framework for conditions such as social media addiction, compulsive smartphone use, or binge-watching [31]. As a result, clinicians are often required to extrapolate from adjacent diagnoses or rely on functional impairment as the primary indicator [32]. Furthermore, smartphones, social media platforms, and streaming services are now foundational to how individuals communicate, socialise, and relax [28]. Yet these same platforms are carefully engineered to be addictive [29]. Features such as infinite scroll, push notifications, and algorithmic reinforcement schedules are deliberately designed to prolong engagement and manipulate attention [29]. As a result, users may spend hours immersed in activities that, while not inherently harmful, but become compulsive over time, often at the expense of sleep, relationships, and mental health [28]. The absence of a substance allows these patterns to evade the moral panic traditionally associated with addiction, making them easier to rationalise and socially excuse [33].

A particularly pernicious driver of behavioural addiction

is the principle of variable ratio reinforcement, a mechanism drawn from behavioural psychology and well-documented in gambling research [34]. This schedule of reinforcement delivers rewards unpredictably - be it a jackpot, a social media 'like', or a sale notification - which produces the highest and most persistent rates of response [15,34]. Just as slot machines entice players with intermittent wins, digital platforms exploit this mechanism to sustain user engagement and elevate behavioural dependency [34]. This neuropsychological hijacking is further legitimised by societal narratives that valorise traits such as busyness, online presence, or tech-savviness, equating constant connectivity and digital fluency with success [35].

Behaviours that might, in another context, raise concern - like compulsively checking email or refreshing social media - are reframed as diligence or sociability [35]. Consequently, individuals struggling with behavioural addictions often experience cognitive dissonance; they feel distressed by their loss of control but are simultaneously reinforced by social validation and external metrics of success [36]. This cultural scaffolding shields behavioural addictions from scrutiny and exacerbates their progression [2]. The clinical consequence is a cohort of individuals whose distress is internal, diffuse, and easily minimised, rendering the addiction all the more difficult to treat until significant functional impairment sets in [37].

### Challenges in Treatment and Intervention

Treating behavioural addictions poses a distinct set of clinical and conceptual complexities that differentiate them from substance-based disorders. Chief among these is the non-negotiable integration of many addictive behaviours into the fabric of daily life [38]. Whereas abstinence is often a clear objective in substance use treatment, behavioural addictions demand a more nuanced approach. One cannot entirely eliminate food, internet usage, or digital communication from one's existence [38]. Thus, the therapeutic objective is rarely total cessation but rather the recalibration of a person's relationship with the behaviour, fostering control, intention, and psychological distance [39].

This inherent ambiguity complicates diagnosis and intervention. Diagnostic criteria for behavioural addictions—such as Internet Gaming Disorder—are still evolving, with only gambling disorder having formal recognition in both DSM-5 and ICD-11 [31-41]. Clinicians must often navigate diagnostic uncertainty, relying heavily on subjective distress and functional impairment rather than biological markers or standardised substance metrics [42]. Additionally, social validation of the behaviour can reinforce denial or minimisation, further impeding treatment engagement [42].

Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy (CBT) remains the most empirically supported modality for behavioural addictions, due to its dual focus on cognition and behaviour [43]. It enables clients to identify and restructure distorted thinking patterns - such as catastrophising, black-and-white reasoning, or low self-efficacy - that often underlie compulsive engagement [44]. By replacing these maladaptive beliefs with more balanced cognitive appraisals, CBT enhances psychological flexibility and strengthens decision-making [44]. These cognitive interventions are paired with behavioural strategies that directly address the habitual nature of the addiction [45]. Techniques such as activity scheduling introduce structure and routine, stimulus control reduces exposure to environmental triggers (e.g., disabling push notifications), and urge-delay tactics build tolerance to discomfort without reactive behaviour

[45]. Together, these strategies help weaken the conditioned loops that sustain compulsivity and reinforce more intentional patterns of engagement behaviour [43]. CBT also promotes the development of alternative coping mechanisms, supporting clients in managing stress, regulating affect, and fulfilling psychological needs without resorting to the addictive behaviour [44]. When applied consistently, this integrative approach does more than reduce symptoms; it gradually reinstates a sense of control and autonomy over behaviour [43].

Mindfulness-Based Interventions (MBIs) represent a potent and increasingly evidence-supported approach for addressing behavioural addictions [46]. Rooted in contemplative traditions but empirically adapted for clinical use, MBIs focus on cultivating non-judgemental present-moment awareness [46]. This involves training clients to observe internal states - such as urges, intrusive thoughts, and emotional discomfort - without immediately reacting to them [47]. In doing so, MBIs interrupt the habitual reactivity that fuels compulsive engagement [46]. For instance, rather than responding automatically to a notification or craving, individuals learn to pause, observe, and choose [1]. Neuroimaging research has demonstrated that regular mindfulness practice can downregulate the default mode network, a neural system associated with self-referential rumination and craving [48]. Additionally, mindfulness appears to modulate activity in brain regions responsible for executive functioning, such as the prefrontal cortex, thus enhancing self-regulation capacities [49].

Structured protocols such as Mindfulness-Based Relapse Prevention (MBRP) blend traditional mindfulness exercises with relapse-focused psychoeducation, helping clients recognise early warning signs and respond with intentionality [50]. This integrative approach is particularly well suited for behavioural addictions where the "trigger" is often internal or affective - such as boredom, loneliness, or restlessness - rather than external [51]. MBIs also support emotional regulation, reducing reliance on digital or behavioural outlets to soothe dysregulated states [50]. Over time, mindfulness builds tolerance for discomfort and expands psychological space, allowing more deliberate choices to emerge where once there was only reflex [50]. While not prescriptive in terms of abstinence or specific behavioural goals, MBIs create the internal conditions necessary for clients to relate differently - and more skillfully - to their impulses [51].

Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) offers a compelling alternative framework that departs from symptom control and instead centres on value-driven living [52]. As a third-wave cognitive-behavioural therapy, ACT aims to increase psychological flexibility: the ability to stay in contact with the present moment, even in the presence of distressing thoughts or feelings, and act in alignment with chosen values [53]. In the context of behavioural addictions, ACT reframes internal experiences such as craving, self-judgement, or anxiety - not as problems to be solved, but as part of the human condition to be acknowledged and accepted [52]. Rather than challenging the content of thoughts ("I need this to cope"), ACT helps clients shift their relationship to these thoughts, often using defusion techniques that encourage observation rather than entanglement [53].

This subtle but profound shift enables clients to make behavioural choices based not on avoidance or compulsion, but on long-term meaning and intention [54]. Techniques such as values clarification and committed action plans guide individuals in identifying personally significant goals and

learning to tolerate short-term discomfort in service of those aims [54]. For example, a client may choose to disconnect from gaming not because the craving has disappeared, but because they value presence with family or creative pursuits [55]. ACT also integrates mindfulness principles, reinforcing awareness and emotional regulation in the face of urges [55]. As clients build this capacity, addictive behaviours lose their functional grip - not by force, but by obsolescence [56]. Increasing evidence supports ACT's efficacy in treating a range of compulsive behaviours, including problematic internet use and gaming [56]. The approach provides both philosophical depth and practical tools, equipping clients to navigate internal struggle without defaulting to behavioural escape [55].

Crucially, all interventions must contend with environmental reinforcers [57]. The digital ecosystems that often house behavioural addictions - social media platforms, online marketplaces, gaming networks - are not passive contexts but dynamic systems designed to exploit attention and habit [57]. Effective treatment, therefore, must extend beyond the individual to include psychoeducation, digital hygiene practices, and structural supports for behaviour change [58]. Treating behavioural addictions is not simply about removing the behaviour - it is about restoring agency, recalibrating reward, and rebuilding a life governed not by compulsion, but by conscious choice [58]. Ultimately, treatment for behavioural addictions is neither prescriptive nor one-size-fits-all. It is a dynamic, iterative process that must balance behavioural containment with compassionate exploration - inviting clients not merely to resist the behaviour, but to rediscover what it was protecting them from, and what might now take its place [56].

### Making Peace with the Hungry Brain

Contemporary life is characterised by an unprecedented saturation of sensory stimuli, informational input, and digital engagement [59]. While these features of modernity offer undeniable convenience and opportunity, they also present a unique challenge to the brain's regulatory systems [10]. The current environment is structured not for psychological equilibrium but for continual arousal and engagement - resulting in a condition wherein individuals are increasingly overstimulated while remaining effectively and relationally undernourished [16,25]. Within this landscape, behavioural addictions do not erupt suddenly but develop incrementally, often framed as socially acceptable or even adaptive behaviours, until they begin to compromise well-being [16]. From a clinical standpoint, it is essential to conceptualise behavioural addictions not as signs of personal pathology or failure but as dysregulated coping responses to an environment that persistently activates the brain's reward systems while offering few opportunities for downregulation or reflective pause [60]. These compulsive patterns frequently emerge as strategies for self-regulation— attempts to manage dysphoric affect, boredom, loneliness, or existential disquiet [60]. The therapeutic task, therefore, lies not merely in extinguishing the behaviour, but in understanding the function it serves within the broader psychosocial context of the individual's life [61].

Hope resides in the plasticity of the human brain. Advances in neuroscience underscore the potential for reorganisation within dopaminergic and cortical-striatal pathways when individuals engage in consistent, intentional behavioural change [48]. With appropriate intervention - whether through cognitive, behavioural, mindfulness-based, or acceptance-focused modalities - clients can begin to weaken maladaptive

neural associations and reinforce alternative pathways aligned with their core values and psychological needs [10]. Ultimately, effective treatment of behavioural addictions necessitates a dual focus: reducing compulsive engagement and simultaneously cultivating a life structure that renders such behaviours obsolete [8]. It is not simply the removal of problematic behaviour that marks recovery, but the re-establishment of agency, connection, and purpose [8]. Only by understanding the brain's wiring - its vulnerabilities and its capacity for change - can clinicians support clients in moving from compulsion toward conscious, value-driven living [58].

### Conclusion

Behavioural addictions represent one of the most complex clinical frontiers of the digital era - not because they are hidden, but because they are normalised. These compulsive patterns thrive not on chemical intoxication, but on the brain's anticipation of reward, subtly hijacked by design features and societal narratives that valorise constant connectivity and productivity. As the science of addiction evolves, it has become increasingly clear that behavioural compulsions are not lesser forms of addiction; they are neurologically equivalent, culturally embedded, and psychologically potent. Understanding the central role of dopamine, habit loops, and environmental reinforcers provides a necessary framework for intervention - but it is only the beginning. Effective treatment must engage with the lived context of each client, integrating cognitive, behavioural, mindfulness, and values-based modalities to restore autonomy and reconnect individuals with meaning. Above all, this challenge invites a broader cultural reckoning: to build environments that support attention, intention, and emotional regulation, rather than undermine them. In confronting behavioural addiction, clinicians are not just treating isolated symptoms - they are helping to recalibrate the relationship between humanity and its technologies, between neural wiring and conscious will.

### References

1. Alter A. *Irresistible: Why We Can't Stop Checking, Scrolling, Clicking and Watching*. Random House; 2017.
2. Ali AY. Behavioral addictions: The substance of "non-substance related addictive disorders." *Int J Emerg Ment Health*. 2021;23:1-6.
3. Brand M, Young KS, Laier C, et al. The interaction of person-affect-cognition-execution (I-PACE) model for addictive behaviors: Update, generalization to addictive behaviors beyond internet-use disorders, and specification of the process character of addictive behaviors. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2019;104:1-10.
4. Sayin HU. Getting high on dopamine: Neuroscientific aspects of pleasure. *SexuS J*. 2019;4:883-906.
5. Volkow ND, Koob GF, McLellan AT. Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(4):363-371.
6. Maneates L. *Re-Visioning Addiction Through the Excavation of Soul* [dissertation]. Pacifica Graduate Institute; 2023.
7. Courtwright DT. *The Age of Addiction: How Bad Habits Became Big Business*. Harvard University Press; 2019.
8. Giordano AL. *A Clinical Guide to Treating Behavioral Addictions*. Springer Publishing Company; 2021.
9. Vande Kappelle RP. *Addiction: How We Get Stuck and Unstuck in Compulsive Patterns and Behavior*. Wipf and Stock Publishers; 2019.
10. Paravati S, Rosani A, Warrington SJ. Physiology, catecholamines. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; 2024.

11. Soares-Cunha C, Heinsbroek JA. Ventral pallidal regulation of motivated behaviors and reinforcement. *Front Neural Circuits*. 2023;17:1086053.
12. Berridge KC, Robinson TE. Liking, wanting, and the incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Am Psychol*. 2016;71(8):670-679.
13. Hunt A, Merola GP, Carpenter T, Jaeggi AV. Evolutionary perspectives on substance and behavioural addictions: Distinct and shared pathways to understanding, prediction and prevention. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2024;159:105603.
14. Barnes HR. *Hijacked Brains: The Experience and Science of Chronic Addiction*. Dartmouth College Press; 2015.
15. Clark L. Decision-making during gambling: An integration of cognitive and psychobiological approaches. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2010;365(1538):319-330.
16. Montag C, Lachmann B, Herrlich M, Zwegl K. Addictive features of social media/messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(14):2612.
17. Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. *Brain Res Rev*. 1993;18(3):247-291.
18. Robbins TW, Everitt BJ, Belin D, et al. Neurocognitive endophenotypes of impulsivity and compulsivity: Towards dimensional psychiatry. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2024;84:102-112.
19. Wyatt Z. The neuroscience of habit formation. *Neurol Neurosci*. 2024;5(1):3.
20. Duhigg C. *The Power of Habit: Why We Do What We Do in Life and Business*. Random House; 2012.
21. Lipton DM, Gonzales BJ, Citri A. Dorsal striatal circuits for habits, compulsions and addictions. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2019;13:28.
22. Graybiel AM. Habits, rituals, and the evaluative brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2008;31:359-387.
23. Cabib S, Campus P, Conversi D, Orsini C, Puglisi-Allegra S. Functional and dysfunctional neuroplasticity in learning to cope with stress. *Brain Sci*. 2020;10(2):127.
24. Lüscher C, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. The transition to compulsion in addiction. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2020;21(5):247-263.
25. Robinson TE, Berridge KC. Review. The incentive sensitization theory of addiction: Some current issues. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. 2008;363(1507):3137-3146.
26. Youvan DC. *Digital Pavlov: How Electronics Condition Human Behavior in the Age of Infinite Rewards*. 2024.
27. Li DXT. What should we do about teen social media addiction? A review of social media's impact on development and recommendation for future regulations. 2023.
28. Kolas J. *Social Media Use & Abuse: Examining Linguistic, Cognitive and Behavioural Aspects of Social Networking Site Addiction* [dissertation]. University of Warwick; 2024.
29. Melgosa J. Behavioural addictions: A growing trend. *J Advent Educ*. 2016;78(4):4-9.
30. Grant JE, Potenza MN, Weinstein A, Gorelick DA. Introduction to behavioral addictions. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*. 2010;36(5):233-241.
31. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 5th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013.
32. Pontes HM, Kuss DJ, Griffiths MD. Clinical psychology of Internet addiction: A review of its conceptualization, prevalence, neuronal processes, and implications for treatment. *Neurosci Neuroecon*. 2015;4:11-23.
33. Bennett C. Drugs, moral panics and the dispositive. *J Sociol*. 2018;54(4):538-556.
34. Gelūnas B. *Depathologizing Addiction: Social Factors in Men's Narratives of Recovery from Problematic Gaming* [dissertation]. 2024.
35. Tarafdar M, Maier C, Laumer S, Weitzel T. Explaining the link between technostress and technology addiction for social networking sites: A study of distraction as a coping behavior. *Inf Syst J*. 2020;30(1):96-124.
36. Vaghefi I, Qahri-Saremi H, Turel O. Dealing with social networking site addiction: A cognitive-affective model of discontinuance decisions. *Internet Res*. 2020;30(5):1427-1453.
37. Maremmani I, Pacini M, Maremmani AGI. Guiding principles for the treatment of dual disorder patients. In: *Dual Disorder Heroin Addicts: Clinical and Therapeutic Aspects*. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2023:1-37.
38. Paquette CE, Daughters SB, Witkiewitz K. Expanding the continuum of substance use disorder treatment: Nonabstinence approaches. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2022;91:102110.
39. King DL, Billieux J, Delfabbro PH. Red box, green box: A self-report behavioral frequency measurement approach for behavioral addictions research. *J Behav Addict*. 2024;13(1):21-24.
40. World Health Organization. *International Classification of Diseases for Mortality and Morbidity Statistics*. 11th Revision. 2019.
41. World Health Organisation. *The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: Clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines*. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 2019.
42. Andreassen CS. Online social network site addiction: A comprehensive review. *Curr Addict Rep*. 2015;2(2):175-184.
43. Zamboni L, Centoni F, Fusina F, et al. The effectiveness of cognitive behavioral therapy techniques for the treatment of substance use disorders: a narrative review of evidence. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2021;209(11):835-843.
44. Young KS. Treatment outcomes using CBT-IA with Internet-addicted patients. *J Behav Addict*. 2013;2(4):209-215.
45. Vela AM, Carroll AJ. Cognitive and Behavioral Approaches. In: *Lifestyle Psychiatry: Through the Lens of Behavioral Medicine*. 2023:80-90.
46. Sancho M, De Gracia M, Rodriguez RC, et al. Mindfulness-based interventions for the treatment of substance and behavioral addictions: a systematic review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;9:95.
47. Li W, Howard MO, Garland EL, McGovern P, Lazar M. Mindfulness treatment for substance misuse: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2017;75:62-96.
48. Tang YY, Hölzel BK, Posner MI. The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2015;16(4):213-225.
49. Garland EL, Hanley AW, Baker AK, Howard MO. Biobehavioral mechanisms of mindfulness as a treatment for chronic stress: An RDoC perspective. *Chronic Stress*. 2018;2:1-14.
50. Bowen S, Chawla N, Grow J, Marlatt GA. *Mindfulness-Based Relapse Prevention for Addictive Behaviors*. New York: Guilford Publications; 2021.
51. Ramadas E, Lima MPD, Caetano T, Lopes J, Dixe MDA. Effectiveness of mindfulness-based relapse prevention in individuals with substance use disorders: A systematic review. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2021;11(10):133.
52. Wilson KG. *Innovations in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: Clinical Advancements and Applications in ACT*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications; 2020.
53. Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, Lillis J. Acceptance and commitment therapy: Model, processes and outcomes. *Behav Res Ther*. 2006;44(1):1-25.
54. Harris R. *Trauma-Focused ACT: A Practitioner's Guide to Working with Mind, Body, and Emotion Using Acceptance and Commitment Therapy*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger

- Publications; 2021.
55. Webb AJ. The Role of Mindfulness in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: Cultivating Present-Moment Awareness. Authorea Preprints. 2023.
  56. Twohig MP, Crosby JM, Bosch J, Hayes SC. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for treatment-resistant clients: A guide to maximizing outcomes. In: Hayes SC, et al., eds. *The ACT Practitioner's Guide to the Science of Compassion*. Oakland, CA: Context Press; 2020:157–177.
  57. Flayelle M, Brevers D, King DL, Maurage P, Perales JC, Billieux J. A taxonomy of technology design features that promote potentially addictive online behaviours. *Nat Rev Psychol*. 2023;2(3):136–150.
  58. Chadha Y, Patil R, Toshniwal S, Sinha N, Toshniwal SS. Internet addiction management: A comprehensive review of clinical interventions and modalities. *Cureus*. 2024;16(3).
  59. Cytowic RE. *Your Stone Age Brain in the Screen Age: Coping with Digital Distraction and Sensory Overload*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2024.
  60. Falcon J. Addictions. In: *Common Client Issues in Counselling: An Australian Perspective*. 2023.
  61. Kardefelt-Winther D, Heeren A, Schimmenti A, van Rooij A, Maurage P, Carras M, Billieux J. How can we conceptualize behavioural addiction without pathologizing common behaviours? *Addiction*. 2017;112(10):1709–1715.
  62. Kaminska S. Building a mindful relationship with digital media in metamodern times. 2023.
  63. Pontes HM, ed. *Behavioral Addictions: Conceptual, Clinical, Assessment, and Treatment Approaches*. Cham: Springer Nature; 2022.
  64. Reith G. *Addictive Consumption: Capitalism, Modernity and Excess*. London: Routledge; 2018.