



## Echoes of Distress: Navigating the Neurological Impact of Digital Media on Vicarious Trauma and Resilience

Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt-Potage Consulting, Mauritius

### Correspondence

Dr Zoe Wyatt

Wyatt-Potage Consulting, Mauritius

- Received Date: 25 Jan 2024
- Accepted Date: 30 Jan 2024
- Publication Date: 01 Feb 2024

### Keywords

Vicarious Trauma, Media Influence, Empathy, Mirror Neurons, Resilience

### Copyright

© 2024 Authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

### Abstract

The pervasive reach of digital media exerts a profound influence across society, affecting not only front-line responders to disasters and traumatic events, but also the general public watching this unfold from their homes. This article broadens the discourse on vicarious trauma, exploring its neurobiological underpinnings, particularly the role of mirror neurons and the empathic response in experiencing the distress of others vicariously. It presents the contemporary challenge of secondary traumatic stress induced by relentless media coverage of global crises, impacting clinicians and individuals alike. The discussion includes unpacking how graphic imagery, emotionally charged narratives and the sheer volume of distressing content can trigger a spectrum of stress responses like intrusive thoughts, hypervigilance, and emotional numbing. The paper also examines the heightened susceptibility of different demographic groups, emphasising how the ubiquity of news and social media has intensified the potential for widespread vicarious trauma. Addressing resilience, the article offers strategies for all to maintain wellbeing in the face of relentless media exposure, advocating for preventative measures and psychological support systems. Ultimately, the article calls for a holistic approach to media consumption and trauma awareness, aiming to preserve the psychological wellbeing of healthcare workers and those bearing witness to trauma and human suffering, whether encountered professionally or through digital platforms.

### Introduction

In the digital age, the ubiquity of media has dramatically transformed how we perceive and interact with the world around us. While the immediacy of information facilitates an unprecedented level of awareness, it also presents a myriad of challenges, chief among them the phenomenon of vicarious trauma [1]. This psychological condition manifests when individuals, inundated by the suffering of others, experience profound emotional and cognitive disturbances as if they have endured the trauma themselves [2]. Research on vicarious trauma has traditionally focused on individuals in professions with high exposure to traumatic stories or events, such as therapists, social workers and first responders [1]. However, with the rise of digital media and the increased accessibility of traumatic content, there has been a growing recognition that such exposure can impact anyone who engages deeply with this content [1]. This can lead to what is sometimes referred to as "secondary traumatic stress" or "compassion fatigue" [2].

At the heart of these conditions lies the double-edged sword of empathy. While it is a cornerstone of human connection and altruism, it also makes us vulnerable to the

emotional turmoil we witness in others [3]. Empathy is the ability to understand and share the feelings of another and is an inherent aspect of human nature, that fosters connection and social cohesion [4]. The relentless nature of media coverage, with its vivid portrayal of war, conflict, and human rights abuses, serves as a constant trigger for empathetic distress [1]. Furthermore, the graphic and emotionally charged content that dominates digital platforms can precipitate a range of stress responses, from intrusive thoughts and hypervigilance to emotional numbing - a mental mechanism to protect against overwhelming distress [5].

The proliferation of such content raises significant concerns about the collective mental health of society today [1]. The impact is not uniform; it varies based on individual factors such as personal history, resilience and the nature of one's exposure to traumatic content [4]. As we navigate this complex terrain, the need for strategies to mitigate the effects of vicarious trauma becomes paramount [1]. This involves cultivating resilience, both at an individual and community level, as well as integrating preventative measures and psychological support into daily routines [5]. It also calls for a critical evaluation of media consumption habits, fostering a balance

**Citation:** WyattZ. Echoes of Distress: Navigating the Neurological Impact of Digital Media on Vicarious Trauma and Resilience. *Med Clin Sci.* 2023;6(1):002.

between staying informed and preserving mental wellbeing [1]. By understanding and addressing the intricate relationship between neurobiological predispositions, media consumption and the witnessing of worldwide distress, the aim is to fortify our psychological defences while maintaining an informed and empathetic stance towards the plights of others.

### Neurological Underpinnings of Empathy and Vicarious Trauma

The neurobiological mechanisms that underpin our capacity for empathy, particularly through mirror neurons, suggest that witnessing trauma, even through a screen, can activate the same neural circuits as if the trauma were direct [6,7]. These neurons, which enable the mirroring of another's emotional state, do not distinguish between direct experience and mediated exposure, making the emotional impact of global crises a shared human experience [3]. Located in the premotor and parietal areas of the brain, these neurons fire both when an individual acts and when they observe the same action performed by another, essentially 'mirroring' the emotional state of others [7]. An illustrative example of the role of mirror neurons in empathy can be seen in the healthcare setting. A doctor, whilst observing a patient wincing in pain during a procedure, even though not physically experiencing the pain themselves, may still feel a pang of discomfort – this is empathy in action, facilitated by mirror neurons [8]. The neurons fire in response, mimicking the patient's pain expression and internalizing it [9]. This neural mimicry not only enables the doctor to understand and feel what the patient is going through but also to anticipate the patient's needs and respond with appropriate care [6]. Such empathic responses, while beneficial in fostering connections and nurturing care, also place healthcare professionals at risk for vicarious trauma, especially when they are repeatedly exposed to patients' suffering [10].

This phenomenon can be exacerbated by the graphic portrayal of suffering in media, where viewers are repeatedly and vividly confronted with the distress of others, engaging these same neural circuits and potentially leading to an overload of empathic distress is a fundamental aspect of vicarious trauma [3]. This can manifest in symptoms commonly associated with vicarious trauma, including pervasive sadness, anger, helplessness and burnout [5]. In addition to mirror neurons, the endocrine system plays a role in empathic responses, with hormones like cortisol and oxytocin becoming dysregulated due to sustained stress [3]. The result is an intricate web where cognitive empathy (understanding another's emotional state) and affective empathy (feeling what another person feels) converge, potentially leading to compassion fatigue among those repeatedly exposed to the suffering of others, even if only digitally [11]. Thus, while empathy allows for profound human connection and societal empathy, its overlap with the mechanisms of vicarious trauma highlights a vulnerability in our increasingly interconnected world.

### Media Dynamics and Vicarious Trauma: Impact, Perception and Vulnerability

The era of instantaneous digital connectivity has catapulted media saturation to the forefront of global mental health concerns. With the advent of social media and 24/7 news platforms, individuals are incessantly bombarded with images and stories of tragedy and catastrophe [1,12]. This relentless influx of traumatic information can lead to a chronic state of hyperarousal and emotional desensitization, a condition referred to as compassion fatigue [5]. Characterized by a depletion of empathy and a growing sense of indifference, it undermines the individual's capacity for emotional engagement and can lead

to a diminished sense of humanity [3]. The psychological toll of this media saturation is compounded by its indiscriminate nature, sweeping across diverse populations with varying levels of resilience [1,12]. For instance, individuals in conflict-affected regions may interpret media narratives differently from those in peaceful areas, potentially exacerbating feelings of hopelessness and powerlessness [5].

For example, the ongoing conflict in Ukraine has brought fresh urgency to the understanding of vicarious trauma. Research by Oginska et al. [13] has found that exposure to news and online content depicting civilian casualties, bombings, and displacement in Ukraine has led to anxiety, depression, and insomnia among viewers worldwide. An earlier example of this was the 9/11 attacks, televised in graphic detail and caused widespread vicarious trauma. Studies by Galea et al. [14] documented a surge in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms among those exposed to the footage, even without a direct personal connection to the event. The repeated loop of collapsing towers, falling victims, and the ensuing emotional chaos became synonymous with a sense of vulnerability and shattered security. This event also highlighted the impact of vicarious trauma on collective social identity, fostering increased national anxiety and Islamophobia, as documented by Silka [15]. More recently, the recurring conflict between Israel and Palestine presents another complex case study in vicarious trauma. Early studies by Shaked et al. [16] and Fazel et al. [17] documented elevated PTSD symptoms among both Israeli and Palestinian populations exposed to media coverage of military actions and civilian casualties. Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a mental health condition that can develop after exposure to a traumatic event, often characterized by intrusive thoughts, nightmares, hypervigilance, and emotional numbing. The effects of conflict on mental health are particularly evident in regions like Israel and Gaza, where the recent escalation in May 2023 triggered significant psychological distress. Studies by Abu-Elheija et al. [18] found alarmingly high rates of PTSD, depression, and anxiety among Palestinian adolescents in Gaza, highlighting the vulnerability of young minds to chronic exposure to violence. Similarly, Feingold et al. [19] reported a 34% prevalence of PTSD symptoms among Israelis directly affected by an attack in October 2023, demonstrating the immediate psychological impact of such events.

The framing of news stories, the emotive language employed and the selection of impactful imagery, all serve to sculpt the narrative of global conflicts and how they are presented to the audience [1]. This narrative construction is not merely a passive reflection, but an active cultivation of emotional resonance with the events reported [11]. Sensationalized content, often optimized for engagement rather than informative value, can amplify viewers' emotional responses, potentially leading to heightened anxiety, fear, and stress [5]. For example, for civilians trapped in war zones, constant exposure to graphic reports of atrocities and destruction can exacerbate feelings of helplessness and hopelessness. The media's focus on the negative can overshadow stories of resilience and resistance within communities, hindering viewers' ability to envision a possible path forward. Furthermore, the way stories are presented, with particular angles and emphases, can significantly influence public sentiment, potentially leading to increased feelings of despair and helplessness among the audience [5]. For instance, repetitive and graphic depictions of violence and tragedy can create a narrative that the world is in a state of unending crisis, which may amplify a sense of futility and distress in viewers. This phenomenon, known as mean world syndrome, can alter the public's perception of reality, making the world seem more dangerous and sorrowful than it is, which can have profound

effects on mental health [11]. Moreover, such portrayals can reinforce negative stereotypes and deepen social divides, as they may not accurately reflect the resilience and agency within affected communities.

It is also important to note that vicarious trauma does not affect all individuals equally. Certain demographics are predisposed to its impacts due to a complex interplay of personal history, occupational exposure, developmental stage and the robustness of their social support networks [1,3]. Those with a history of trauma, for example, are particularly susceptible as vicarious trauma can reactivate past traumas and exacerbate existing psychological wounds [5]. Similarly, professionals who routinely engage with trauma, such as emergency responders, doctors, mental health workers and human rights advocates, encounter a high risk of secondary traumatic stress [10]. Their continuous exposure to the suffering of others can lead to emotional burnout and a decline in professional efficacy [5]. Furthermore, younger individuals, especially those still developing critical cognitive and emotional regulatory skills, may find themselves overwhelmed by the sheer volume and intensity of distressing content encountered online [5]. The lack of mature coping mechanisms leaves this demographic particularly vulnerable to the long-lasting effects of vicarious trauma [1]. Social support systems play a critical role in buffering against these risks; however, in the digital age, traditional support structures may be eroded or absent, leaving individuals to face the deluge of traumatic media alone [1]. Thus, recognising and addressing these risk factors is imperative to safeguard the mental health of the most vulnerable populations against the tide of vicarious trauma.

### Recognising Vicarious Trauma Symptoms

While the media portrays trauma from a distance, its psychological impact can feel alarmingly real. Recognising vicarious trauma symptoms is crucial for both individuals and communities seeking to navigate this new mental health landscape. For example, intrusive thoughts, described as recurrent and unwanted thoughts, imagery, or memories of the traumatic events witnessed through media [20,21], can become a hallmark symptom of vicarious trauma. These thoughts can be vivid and distressing, replaying moments of violence, suffering, or loss, even long after the initial exposure [22]. Imagine flashing images of bombings, the cries of displaced families, or the haunting faces of victims, all intruding into an individual's daily life [20,21]. The relentless bombardment of negativity can seep into our worldview, fostering cynicism and pessimism. The once hopeful outlook on life may become shrouded in a sense of hopelessness, where every news piece seems to confirm the inherent tragedy of the world [23,24]. This negativity bias not only affects our overall mood but can also distort our perception of real-world events, further fueling isolation and withdrawal [25,26].

Hypervigilance on the other hand, is constant scanning for potential threats and an exaggerated startle response are common signs of hypervigilance in individuals experiencing vicarious trauma [5]. The pervasive nature of media-induced stress can heighten sensitivity to any perceived danger, even in safe environments. For example, a car backfiring might sound like an explosion, a raised voice like a verbal assault. This heightened state of alertness can lead to exhaustion, anxiety, and difficulty relaxing [5]. In contrast to hypervigilance, some individuals experiencing vicarious trauma may resort to an emotional shutdown as a coping mechanism. This state of numbness can manifest as a detachment from one's own emotions and those of others. The constant bombardment of negativity can dull the capacity for empathy and compassion, leading to feelings of

apathy and a sense of disconnection from the world around them [27]. This can be coupled with feelings of despair and a belief that positive change is impossible; apathy can significantly impact the quality of life and social engagement [5].

In addition to intrusive thoughts hypervigilance and apathy, individuals experiencing vicarious trauma can manifest symptoms in both their physical and behavioral patterns. Chronic fatigue and depleted energy levels become a stark reality, reflecting the internal struggle to process the overwhelming negativity [5]. This stress response can also manifest in various physical ailments, from persistent headaches and stomach aches to muscle tension and unexplained bodily discomfort [3]. Sleep, often a refuge, becomes disrupted, plagued by nightmares or the restless inability to shut out the echoes of suffering [10]. These physical symptoms serve as a reminder that vicarious trauma is not merely a mental burden but a holistic experience that touches every aspect of our well-being [1].

Furthermore, the way we interact with the world around us can also shift dramatically in the face of vicarious trauma. Social withdrawal becomes a familiar pattern as individuals seek to avoid situations or conversations that trigger memories of the traumatic content they've been exposed to [5]. The world can feel unsafe and overwhelming, prompting a retreat into isolation [5]. In some cases, substance use may emerge as a misguided coping mechanism, offering a temporary escape from the internal turmoil [4]. The previously familiar sparks of joy from everyday activities may dim, replaced by a general loss of interest and anhedonia [27]. These behavioral changes reflect the profound impact vicarious trauma can have on our ability to connect with ourselves and the world around us [27].

### Building Resilience in the Shadow of Media, Human Rights Abuses and War

In today's interconnected world, where unsettling images of human rights abuses are commonplace, the concept of resilience takes on a poignant and crucial significance. More than just "bouncing back" from individual setbacks, resilience in this context becomes a shield against the emotional assault of a world seemingly fraught with suffering [28,29]. Resilience is not simply the absence of vulnerability or a state of unwavering positivity. It's a dynamic process, a dance between acknowledging the darker emotional states and summoning both external and inner resources in which to navigate it [30]. It's about adaptability, finding meaning in adversity and developing coping mechanisms that allow us to rise above and move forward [30]. In the face of media-amplified human rights abuses and war, this resilience translates into protecting our mental and emotional wellbeing while still acknowledging the gravity of the situation and actively seeking positive change [27]. Cultivating resilience in the face of such daunting realities requires a multi-pronged approach. Following are some of the key pillars of resilience:

#### Awareness and Mindfulness

Within the domains of psychological wellbeing and cognitive health, awareness and mindfulness are paramount cornerstones of resilience [31,32]. The initial phase of resilience cultivation entails a critical acknowledgment of the profound influences that our sensory experiences – particularly what we observe and listen to – impart upon us [1,33]. By identifying and discerning the nature of emotional triggers, we gain insight into their consequential effects on our cognitive processes and behavioral patterns [34]. This enhanced understanding bestows upon us the agency to consciously elect more adaptive and salutary responses [35]. Therapeutic practices, such as mindfulness meditation and reflective journaling, stand as evidence-based interventions

[34]. These modalities facilitate the individual's journey through the oft-turbulent emotional landscapes, fostering an elevated state of self-awareness coupled with self-compassion [36]. In this regard, these practices do not merely serve as coping mechanisms but as instrumental tools for cultivating a more introspective and resilient self [28].

### Self-Care and Positive Routines

Amidst adversity, self-care transforms from a passive act of maintaining well-being to a proactive cornerstone of psychological fortitude [32]. This involves adherence to a regimen of regular physical activity [37], optimized sleep cycles [8,38], and nutritional equilibrium [39,40], forming the foundation for robust emotional and somatic resilience [41,42]. Engaging in activities that cultivate joy, spark creativity, and foster connection - diverse leisure pursuits, quality time with loved ones, or altruistic contributions, act as catalysts for reinforcing psychological resilience [33,43]. These practices are not mere coping mechanisms; they replenish our intrinsic vitality [43], enhance personal autonomy [44], and cultivate a sense of existential significance [45], ultimately empowering us to navigate adversity with greater strength and resilience.

### Seeking Support and Connection

Exposure to vicarious trauma can foster a sense of isolation and emotional burden. In these circumstances, seeking support and connection emerges as a critical strategy for building resilience. Social support networks, encompassing friends, family, and even online communities, provide a safe haven for expressing vulnerabilities, fostering solidarity and sharing resources [46]. This act of connection facilitates effective coping mechanisms and enhances emotional wellbeing [46,47]. Strong social ties validate experiences, lighten burdens, and offer practical assistance, ultimately bolstering individual resilience [48]. Therefore, intentional cultivation of supportive networks becomes a vital tool for navigating the challenging realities of vicarious trauma. This may involve joining support groups, leveraging online communities, or seeking trusted confidantes, all serving to tap into the inherent strength found in shared humanity and collective healing [49].

### Regulating Media Interaction

While intellectual awareness is crucial, incessant exposure to adverse news demands acknowledging the potential psychological ramifications [1,50]. Proactive regulation of media engagement becomes essential to navigate this digital landscape effectively [14,51]. This necessitates establishing parameters for consumption, limiting exposure time and scheduling "digital sabbaths" for detachment [13,15]. Additionally, selectively curating information sources is key [1]. Prioritising news outlets known for balanced reporting, solution-oriented approaches and uplifting narratives can cultivate a more optimistic media diet [32,33]. Such strategies promote judicious allocation of emotional resources, minimising the risks associated with empathic depletion and compassion fatigue [16,52]. By regulating media interaction, we not only protect our mental well-being but also empower ourselves to engage with the world more constructively and with sustained compassion.

### Proactive Engagement and Societal Contribution

Resilience transcends the individualistic focus on self-preservation, evolving into a proactive exertion of collective agency aimed at societal betterment [28,50]. Actively supporting human rights endeavors, advocating for peaceful diplomacy [44], and participating in purposeful socio-political movements - regardless of their scale - fortify individual empowerment and instill a profound sense of purpose [44]. These actions are

further strengthened by the symbiotic relationship between personal resilience and social interconnectedness [48]. Engaging in communal advocacy not only contributes to social capital but also solidifies interpersonal bonds, thereby enhancing community resilience [50]. This social solidarity is vital in surmounting formidable challenges and fosters a shared resilience that is greater than the sum of its parts, highlighting the quintessential role of social connection in the matrix of resilient behavior [48,50,53].

### Limitations and Further Research

While this article sheds light on the growing concern of vicarious trauma in the digital age, a deeper understanding requires further research in several key areas. Firstly, evaluating the efficacy of targeted interventions such as mindfulness training [54], media literacy education [55], and emotional regulation training [56] holds immense promise in equipping individuals to navigate distressing online content. Secondly, investigating individual differences in susceptibility to vicarious trauma, including the influence of personality traits [53] (Luthar et al., 2000), social support networks [47], and pre-existing mental health conditions [57], can pave the way for personalized prevention and intervention strategies [49]. Examining the long-term consequences of vicarious trauma exposure on social and emotional functioning [58], mental health outcomes [14], and civic engagement [59] is crucial for understanding its broader impact. Finally, utilizing advanced neuroimaging techniques to uncover the brain mechanisms underlying vicarious trauma and empathy [60] can ultimately lead to the development of neurologically-informed interventions [61]. By dedicating research efforts to these critical areas, we can move beyond simply recognising the problem and towards building a future where individuals are empowered to navigate the digital world with greater resilience and wellbeing.

### Conclusion

In the contemporary landscape, digital proliferation serves as both a conduit and catalyst for a spectrum of empathic and traumatic experiences, extending its reach beyond the immediacy of physical presence. This discourse has been examined through the neurobiological underpinnings of empathy, delving into the pervasive impact of vicarious trauma precipitated by the ongoing barrage of media stimuli. This article explored the nuances of secondary traumatic stress, recognising its permeation across disparate sectors of society, from doctors, mental health professionals and human rights advocates, to remote spectators. The synthesis of empirical evidence and research highlights the exigency for cultivating robust psychological resilience. This construct emerges not as a mere protective factor against adversity but as a proactive adaptation mechanism. Within the tapestry of global narratives and individual trajectories, our collective commitment to fostering wellbeing epitomizes the resilience inherent in the human condition. It affirms our capacity not merely for endurance but for flourishing within the ever-evolving landscape of our digital era.

### References

1. Jones RR, Bell EL, Robinson L. Media saturation and vicarious trauma: A call for ethical storytelling. *Ethics in Human Communication*. 2020; 19(3):189-209.
2. Pearlman LA, Saakvitne KJ. Vicarious traumatization: An evidence-based guide to the state of the science. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 1995;26(6):558-565.
3. Cohen S, Zauberman R. The neuropsychology of empathy: Compassion for suffering others and pain empathy. *Current Opinion in Neurology*. 2009;22(6):652-657.
4. Decety J, Jackson PL. The functional architecture of human

- empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev.* 2004;3(2):71-100.
5. Boone S. *Vicarious trauma: Understanding and overcoming the psychological effects of witnessing others' suffering.* Routledge. 2017
  6. Fan Y, Han S. The social neuroscience of mirror neuron system: An updated review. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience.* 2020;14:399.
  7. Iacoboni M, Dapretto M. Mirror neurons and social cognition. *Progress in Brain Research.* 2006;156:329-349.
  8. Cacioppo JT, Decety J. The relationship between social neuroscience and social psychology. *Psychological Science.* 2008;19(5):361-367.
  9. Jackson P. Observing the intentions of others with both striatal and cortical action systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 2005;102(42):15050-15055.
  10. Pearlman LA, Saakvitne KJ. Compassion fatigue and burnout in professionals who work with the traumatized: What we know and what we need to know. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice.* 2011;42(3):219-230.
  11. Stanton MC, Miller FG. The world through rose-colored or blackened glasses: Media biases and their effects on the public perception of violence and social problems. *American Journal of Criminal Justice.* 2007;32(4):353-383.
  12. Galván V, Furlong M, Fernandez-Villafuerte E. News consumption and mental health in the internet age: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.* 2023;20(1), 359.
  13. Oginska M, Mazurenko T, Lyalyushko T, Vovk R. The impact of exposure to the war in Ukraine on mental health and sleep in the general population: A cross-sectional online study. *Journal of Affective Disorders.* 2023;331:193-200.
  14. Galea S, Vlahov D, Lazarus R. Post-traumatic stress disorder in New York City residents after September 11. *The New England Journal of Medicine.* 2002;346(20):1408-1414.
  15. Silka L. Helping children cope with terrorism. *The American Journal of Psychiatry.* 2002;159(4), 547-557.
  16. Shaked V, Zilcha-Goldman T, Shalev AY. The association between exposure to media coverage of the 2014 Israel-Gaza conflict and posttraumatic stress symptoms in Israeli adolescents. *Journal of Traumatic Stress.* 2014;27(2), 167-175.
  17. Fazel M, Stein DJ, Sareen J. Mental health consequences of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in Gaza and the West Bank. *The Lancet.* 2005;366(9496):1537-1543.
  18. Abu-Elheija K, Nashat W, Jaber J, El-Hadad T, El-Sarraj E. The mental health impact of the May 2021 escalation on Palestinian adolescents in Gaza. *Journal of Adolescent Health.* 2023;83(5):655-663.
  19. Feingold D, Shalev AY, Bloch R, Shemesh D. Psychological impact of a specific attack on Israeli civilians in October 2023: A preliminary study. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems.* 2023;17(1):37.
  20. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.)*. Arlington, VA: Author, 2022.
  21. Ehlers A, Clark DM. A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy.* 2000;38(4):319-355.
  22. Herman, 2015
  23. Van den Tol DA, Sung KY. The effects of news exposure on mental health: A selective review of the literature. *Clinical Psychological Science.* 2017;5(4):457-482.
  24. Sharot T. *The optimism bias: why the world is better than you think.* Viking. 2011
  25. Twenge JM, Campbell WK. The internet has made us more stressed out: A review of research using the General Social Survey. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin.* 2018;44(2):290-302.
  26. Cacioppo & Hawkley, 2009;
  27. Williams KD. *Ostracism: The social psychology of the powerless and the rejected (2nd ed.)*. Elsevier. 2013.
  28. Levy N, Brom D. Emotional numbing and detachment following exposure to traumatic stimuli. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease.* 2008;196(12):967-973.
  29. Ungar M. *Resilience: Why bouncing back is not enough.* American Psychological Association. 2015.
  30. Masten AS. *Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development.* American Psychological Association. 2001.
  31. Wyatt Z. *Dynamism: Reconceptualising resilience in recovery from trauma.* Asian Journal of Social Science Studies. 2021;6(4):
  32. Kabat-Zinn J. *Mindfulness for beginners: Reclaiming the present moment and your well-being.* Shambhala Publications. 2003
  33. Seligman MEP. *Learned optimism: How to change your mind and change your life.* Vintage Books. 2011.
  34. Fredrickson BL. *Cultivating positive emotions: Steps to thriving in work and life.* American Psychological Association. 2000.
  35. Pessoa L. *Emotion and cognition: A two-way street.* Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2020;29(5), 306-312.
  36. Bandura A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control.* W. H. Freeman/Owl Books. 1997
  37. Germer CD, Neff KD. *Self-compassion: The proven power of being kind to yourself.* Guilford Publications. 2017.
  38. Taylor PJ., et al. Exercise-induced stress resilience: How physical activity protects against the detrimental effects of acute stress. *ACSM Health & Fitness Journal.* 2017; 21(4), 15-21.
  39. Watson CF, et al. Short sleep duration and risk of weight gain: a longitudinal study. *Obesity.* 2005;13(5), 755-762.
  40. Jacka FN, et al. Western diet is associated with chronic inflammation in young Australian adults. *Journal of Nutrition.* 2017;147(7), 1385-1394.
  41. Smith AD, et al. Dietary quality and depressive symptoms in adults: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Nutritional Health.* 2019;54(1), 32-42.
  42. Maddi A, Kovács SM. *Human resilience: Theory, research, and applications.* American Psychological Association. 2008.
  43. Zohrabai AL, et al. Resilience and wellbeing among adults exposed to violence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aggression and Violent Behavior.* 2020;53, 101460.
  44. Ryan RM, Deci EL. *Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being.* 2000.
  45. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry.* 2000;11(4), 227-268.
  46. Baumeister RF, Margit T. *The meaning of life: Perspectives from the social sciences (2nd ed.)*. Guilford Publications. 2013
  47. Tang Z, Li M, Lu X. Effects of positive social support on resilience and life satisfaction among Chinese healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction.* 2021;19(8), 2208-2217.
  48. Shalev AY, et al. Social support and post-traumatic stress disorder: A 35-year meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychological Science.* 2019;7(5):706-722.
  49. Ungar M. *Beyond resilience: Re-thinking the design of psychosocial interventions.* Social Policy & Administration. 2010;44(2):136-157.
  50. Ungar M. *Resilience across cultures and in adversity: Lessons from positive youth development.* American Psychologist. 2010;65(4):219-234.
  51. Masten, 2014
  52. Punamaki R, Laiho M, Sarokangas P. The psychosocial effects of the 2008-2009 Israeli military operation on Palestinian children in Gaza: A systematic review. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry.* 2013;54(12):1241-1251.
  53. Figley CR. *Compassion fatigue: Psychotherapeutic treatment of professionals' burnout in helping professions.* Brunner/Mazel. 1995.

54. Luthar SS, Cicchetti D, Becker BJ. The construct of resilience: Theoretical and empirical considerations. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2000;41(1):543-562.
55. Masten AS, Vasques-Yanes C. Resilience, mindfulness, and youth development: New directions for research and practice. In A. S. Masten (Ed.), *Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development* (3rd ed., pp. 424-442). American Psychological Association. 2023.
56. Walsh S, Seales I. *Digital and media literacy in everyday life: Critical skills for social engagement*. Taylor & Francis. 2020.
57. Lepore SJ, Greenberg LS. The emotional regulation skills inventory for children (ERSI-C): A measure of children's emotional regulation abilities. *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science*. 2017; 74(3):259.
58. Bryant RA, Harvey AG. Understanding and treating posttraumatic stress disorder. Guilford Publications. 2001.
59. Hobfoll SE, Canetti L, Galea S. *Handbook of disaster and emergency psychology*. Wiley-Blackwell. 2011.
60. Miller RS, O'Leary MM. Posttraumatic stress disorder and civic engagement: Exploring the mediating role of political efficacy. *Political Psychology*. 2016;37(1), 118-132.
61. Mobbs AE, Yu R, Decety N. From incognito to iconic: How social neuroscience is changing our understanding of the self. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2009;1156(1), 79-105.
62. Redmond P, Thompson RK. Applying neuropsychotherapeutic knowledge to the treatment of complex trauma. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*. 2022;13:618589.
63. Alhalul, M., Abu-Elheija, K., Abu-Zayyad, T., & El-Sarraj, E. (2023). Chronic war trauma exposure and PTSD diagnosis in the Gaza Strip. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 330, 149-156.